KIAS-P98031, SNUTP98-122

BTZ black holes from the five-dimensional general relativity with a negative cosmological constant

Youngjai Kiem[(a)*] and Dahl Park[(b)†]

[(a)] *School of Physics, KIAS, Seoul 130-012, Korea*

[(b)] *Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea*

arXiv:hep-th/9810205v2 21 Jan 1999

Abstract

We show that the five-dimensional general relativity with a negative cosmological constant allows the solutions of the form $\mathcal{M}_3 \times M_g$ where $\mathcal{M}_3$ is the three-dimensional BTZ black hole and M_g is a higher genus ($g > 1$) Riemann surface with a fixed size. It is shown that this type of spontaneous compactification on a Riemann surface is possible only for the genus larger than one. From type IIB string theory point of view, certain near horizon geometry of D three-branes wrapped on the compact Riemann surface ($g > 1$) is the BTZ (or AdS_3) space-time tensored with the Riemann surface and a constant size five-sphere. The relevance of our analysis to the positive energy conjecture of Horowitz and Myers is discussed.

*ykiem@kias.re.kr

†dpark@ctp.snu.ac.kr

Ever since the realization that the Anti de Sitter (AdS) supergravity captures many aspects of the conformal field theory (CFT) on the boundary, there has been a growing interest toward the general relativity with a negative cosmological constant [1]. Of particular importance in this regard is the AdS_5/CFT_4 correspondence, in terms of which we can understand the strong coupling behavior of the four-dimensional supersymmetric (or (2+1)-dimensional non-supersymmetric) Yang-Mills theory [2]. Essentially asserting the stability of the Yang-Mills theory via AdS_5/CFT_4 correspondence, Horowitz and Myers proposed a physically pleasing but mathematically vexing positive energy conjecture for the five-dimensional general relativity with a negative cosmological constant; it is conjectured that the energy functional of the smooth solutions of this theory that are asymptotically locally AdS_5 is bounded from below [3]. By considering the general static solutions of the form

$$ds^2 = g^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta + e^{2\psi_1}d\theta^2 + e^{\psi_2}(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2) \tag{1}$$

and obtaining the complete solution space for the space-time geometry that is asymptotically $R \times S^1 \times T^2$, the validity of the Horowitz-Myers conjecture in this limited case was demonstrated in Ref. [4]. The radial and time coordinates span the two-dimensional subspace with the metric $g^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}$, θ represents the circle S^1, and (x_1, x_2) parameterizes the two-torus T^2. Two exponential factors are the radial coordinate dependent moduli fields for the circle size and the two-torus size. A natural generalization of the analysis of [4] is to replace the two-torus with a Riemann surface of genus g[1]. Noting that there *exist* examples of regular solutions with arbitrarily negative energy in the context of the asymptotically locally Minkowskian space-time in the genus zero case (bubble type solutions) [7], the Horowitz-Myers conjecture suggests that the presence of the negative cosmological constant should drastically influence the genus-dependent behavior of the solution space, so as to avoid the dangerous bubble type solutions of the general relativity with zero cosmological constant.

---

[1]For the discussions on the M membranes wrapped on a higher genus Riemann surface, see [5]. See also [6].

In this paper, we find precisely such an example in the case of the spontaneous compactification [8] of the five-dimensional gravity to three dimensions upon the compactification on a fixed size Riemann surface of an arbitrary genus g. Unlike the five-dimensional general relativity with zero cosmological constant where the three-dimensional Minkowskian space-time tensored with a fixed-size two-torus (genus one) is obvious allowed, we explicitly show that the type of the compactification in the above is possible only for the Riemann surfaces with genus *larger* than one. In what follows, we consider the spontaneous compactification on a fixed size Riemann surface with genus g of the five-dimensional general relativity with a negative cosmological constant and get the general static radial dependent solutions. For the genus larger than one, we show that the solutions are three-dimensional Banados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) black holes [9] tensored with the Riemann surface. For the genus zero and one case, on the other hand, this type of solutions do not exist. As will be explicitly demonstrated below, the solutions that we find from the five-dimensional analysis also become the solutions of the type IIB supergravity with a non-vanishing Ramond-Ramond (RR) self-dual five-form field strength, thereby related to D three-branes. The appearance of the BTZ black holes from three-branes is an interesting feature resulting from the compactification on a Riemann surface.

We start from the five-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action with a negative cosmological constant

$$I = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g^{(5)}} \left(R^{(5)} + \frac{6}{l^2} \right), \tag{2}$$

where $R^{(5)}$ is the five-dimensional scalar curvature and l is a positive constant. This action can also be obtained from the type IIB supergravity upon the spontaneous compactification on an S^5 in the presence of D three-branes [4]. As such, the prototypical examples of the solutions of (2) are AdS_5 space-time, AdS_5 black holes, and AdS_5 solitons, all parallel to the world-volume of the D three-branes. We instead consider a different topology, namely, $\mathcal{M}_3 \times M_g$ where $\mathcal{M}_3$ is a three-dimensional space-time and M_g is a genus g Riemann surface. For the genus zero surface, we use the standard spherical metric $ds^2 = dx_1^2 + \sin^2(x_1) dx_2$,

which produces a compact two-manifold. For the genus one surface, the flat R^2 covering space metric $ds^2 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2$ can be used. Upon taking the quotients of the covering space by its discrete isometry subgroup that acts freely, we get a compact two-torus. For the higher genus case, we can use the hyperbolic metric $ds^2 = dx_1^2 + \sinh^2(x_1)dx_2^2$; by taking the quotients of its universal covering space by its discrete isometry subgroup that acts freely but appropriately discontinuously, we obtain a compact Riemann surface with any genus larger than one. Thus, the five dimensional metric is assumed to be of the form

$$ds^2 = g^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta + e^{2\psi_1}d\theta^2 + e^{\psi_2}(dx_1^2 + S^2(x_1)dx_2^2), \tag{3}$$

similar to Eq. (1) except that the two-torus part has been replaced with a genus g Riemann surface. The function $S(x_1)$ is $\sin(x_1/k)$, 1 and $\sinh(x_1/k)$ for the genus $g = 0$, $g = 1$ and $g > 1$ case, respectively. The constant k is so included that we can change the size of the Riemann surface.

Since we are interested in static, radial coordinate dependent solutions, we assume that the two-dimensional metric $g^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}$, the radius $\exp(\psi_1)$ of S^1 and the size $\exp(\psi_2)$ of M_g depend only on the coordinates x^α (in fact, a space-like combination of two x^αs). Under these assumptions, the non-trivial part of the five-dimensional equations of motion from the action (2) can be equivalently summarized by the following two-dimensional action:

$$I_2 = \int d^2x\sqrt{-g}e^{-2\bar{\psi}}\left[R + \frac{6}{l^2}e^{\bar{\psi}-\psi_1} - 2\mu e^{3\bar{\psi}} - \frac{3}{2}(D\psi_1)^2\right], \tag{4}$$

where $-2\bar{\psi} = \psi_1 + \psi_2$ and the two-dimensional metric has been rescaled as $g^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta} = e^{-(3\psi_1+\psi_2)/2}g_{\alpha\beta}$. The second term in Eq. (4) is the potential term originating from the five-dimensional cosmological constant, while the third term comes from the curvature of the Riemann surface. The factor $\mu = -1/k^2$, $\mu = 0$ and $\mu = 1/k^2$ for the genus $g = 0$, $g = 1$ and $g > 1$ case, respectively. As expected, the $g = 0$, $g = 1$ and $g > 1$ case contribute a negative, zero and positive amount to the total potential energy, respectively, $g = 0$ case being the "lowest energy s-wave sector".

We choose a radial gauge for the two-dimensional metric

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta = -\alpha^2 dt^2 + \beta^2 dr^2. \tag{5}$$

One gets the static equations of motion from the two-dimensional action Eq. (4) under the choice of the radial gauge Eq. (5) by requiring that all the fields depend only on a space-like coordinate r. After a change of the space-like coordinate from r to x via

$$dx \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{l}\alpha\beta e^{-\psi_1-\bar{\psi}}dr, \tag{6}$$

the static equations of motion are summarized by a one-dimensional action

$$I_1 = \int dx \left[\alpha\Omega^{1/2}e^{-\psi_1}\alpha'\Omega' - \frac{3}{4}\alpha^2\Omega^{3/2}e^{-\psi_1}\psi_1'^2 - \frac{\mu l^2}{2}\Omega^{-1}e^{\psi_1}\right], \tag{7}$$

where $\Omega = e^{-2\bar{\psi}}$ and the prime denotes the differentiation with respect to x. The gauge constraint

$$\alpha\Omega^{1/2}e^{-\psi_1}\alpha'\Omega' - \frac{3}{4}\alpha^2\Omega^{3/2}e^{-\psi_1}\psi_1'^2 + \frac{\mu l^2}{2}\Omega^{-1}e^{\psi_1} = \frac{3}{2} \tag{8}$$

should be supplemented to the equations of motion from the action (7). We note that the field β is completely absorbed into the space-like coordinate x, Eq. (6), so that β does not appear in the one-dimensional action (7) and the gauge constraint (8). This is possible since the field β in Eq. (5), that depends only on r, can be completely gauged away by a diffeomorphism of r. We have to determine three functions Ω, ψ_1 and α by solving the equations of motion from (7). For this purpose, we observe that there are three symmetries of the action (7):

$$(a)\ x \to x + \epsilon$$

$$(b)\ \psi_1 \to \psi_1 + \epsilon,\ x \to e^{-\epsilon}x$$

$$(c)\ x \to e^{\epsilon}x,\ \Omega \to e^{\epsilon}\Omega,\ \alpha \to e^{-\epsilon/4}\alpha,$$

where ϵ is an arbitrary real parameter of each symmetry transformation. Using these three symmetries, we can integrate the coupled second order differential equations once to get coupled first order differential equations simply by constructing three Noether charges

$$c_0 = \alpha\Omega^{1/2}e^{-\psi_1}\alpha'\Omega' - \frac{3}{4}\alpha^2\Omega^{3/2}e^{-\psi_1}\psi_1'^2 + \frac{\mu l^2}{2}\Omega^{-1}e^{\psi_1} \tag{9}$$

$$\psi_0 - c_0 x = -\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2\Omega^{3/2}e^{-\psi_1}\psi_1' \tag{10}$$

$$s + c_0 x = \alpha\Omega^{3/2}e^{-\psi_1}\alpha' - \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2\Omega^{1/2}e^{-\psi_1}\Omega', \tag{11}$$

corresponding to each symmetry. The gauge constraint Eq. (8) dictates that $c_0 = 3/2$, and the total number of constants of motion thus reduces from six to five.

We are interested in the case where the size $\exp(\psi_2)$ of M_g is fixed

$$e^{\psi_2} = \Omega e^{-\psi_1} = e^{\psi_{20}}, \tag{12}$$

where ψ_{20} is a constant. This condition determines the solution for ψ_1 in terms of Ω and one constant of motion ψ_{20} and, as such, the total number of constants of motion reduces from five to four. Plugging Eq. (12) and Eq. (10) into Eq. (9), we get

$$\frac{d}{dx}\ln|\alpha^2\Omega^{-3/2}| = \frac{3 - \mu l^2 e^{-\psi_{20}}}{x - 2\psi_0/3},$$

which can be integrated to yield

$$\alpha^2 = \alpha_0^2|x - 2\psi_0/3|^{3-\mu l^2\exp(-\psi_{20})}\Omega^{3/2}, \tag{13}$$

where α_0 is a constant of integration. Using Eq. (12) and Eq. (13), we can rewrite Eq. (10)

$$\alpha_0^2 e^{\psi_{20}}\Omega\Omega' = (x - 2\psi_0/3)|x - 2\psi_0/3|^{\mu l^2\exp(-\psi_{20})-3},$$

which, upon integration, becomes

$$\alpha_0^2 e^{\psi_{20}}\Omega^2 = \frac{2}{\mu l^2 e^{-\psi_{20}} - 1}|x - 2\psi_0/3|^{\mu l^2\exp(-\psi_{20})-1} + c_1, \tag{14}$$

where c_1 is a constant of integration. When $\mu l^2 e^{-\psi_{20}} = 1$ such that the denominator of the right hand side of Eq. (14) vanishes, the solution (14) changes to a logarithmic function.

We determined all the fields without using Eq. (11) and, therefore, the consistent solutions for the spontaneous compactification on a fixed size Riemann surface should satisfy Eq. (11). Plugging the solutions Eqs. (12), (13) and (14) into Eq. (11) yields a consistency condition

$$s+\frac{3}{2}x=\frac{5-\mu l^2e^{-\psi_{20}}}{2\mu l^2e^{-\psi_{20}}-2}\left(x-\frac{2}{3}\psi_0\right)+\frac{\epsilon_x c_1}{2}(3-\mu l^2e^{-\psi_{20}})\left|x-\frac{2}{3}\psi_0\right|^{2-\mu l^2\exp(-\psi_{20})}, \qquad (15)$$

where ϵ_x is the sign of $(x-2\psi_0/3)$. Since Eq. (15) should be satisfied for all values of x, the power of the second term on the right hand side should be either zero or one, or the second term itself should vanish by requiring $c_1(3-\mu l^2\exp(-\psi_{20}))=0$. The power of one is inconsistent; when the power is one, $\mu l^2e^{-\psi_{20}}=1$, the first term on the right hand side becomes a logarithmic function proportional to $\ln|x-2\psi_0/3|$, while the left hand side remains unchanged (see the remarks below Eq. (14)). When the power is zero or equivalently when

$$\mu l^2e^{-\psi_{20}}=2, \qquad (16)$$

Eq. (15) reduces to

$$c_1=2\epsilon_x(s+\psi_0), \qquad (17)$$

determining the constant of motion c_1 in terms of others. When $c_1=0$, matching the coefficient of x in Eq. (15) yields the condition (16). In this case, matching the constant terms of Eq. (15) gives $s+\psi_0=0$, which yields $c_1=0$ again according to Eq. (17). When $\mu l^2\exp(-\psi_{20})=3$, the coefficient of x in Eq. (15) can not match, and thus this case is inconsistent. Therefore, we conclude that Eq. (16) is the consistency condition and c_1 is determined by Eq. (17). As argued before, as a result, there are four constants of motion parameterizing the solution space, ψ_{20}, α_0, ψ_0 and s. The key point is that Eq. (16) requires that μ should be positive definite. The genus $g=0$ and $g=1$ cases thus can not satisfy the equations of motion, namely Eq. (11). In other words, the spontaneous compactification on a fixed size Riemann surface is only possible for the compact manifold whose universal covering space metric is the hyperbolic type (thereby producing a negative two-dimensional intrinsic curvature) or the Riemann surface with genus higher than one. This illustrates one of our main points that the solution space of the five-dimensional general relativity with a negative cosmological constant has a drastic dependence on the genus. More concretely, for

the compactification on a *fixed size* flat torus, one can explicitly compute the five-dimensional Ricci tensor and find $R_{x_1x_1} = R_{x_2x_2} = 0$, contradicting the five-dimensional field equations. Similarly, for the genus zero Riemann surface, even if the Ricci tensor components transversal to the Riemann surface, $R_{x_1x_1}$ and $R_{x_2x_2}$, are proportional to the metric tensor, the sign of the proportionality constant is opposite to the sign of the proportionality constant for the components longitudinal to the Riemann surface, resulting another contradiction to the five-dimensional field equations. At least in the case considered in this paper, there are no consistent solutions for the would-be "lower" energy sector of genus zero.

To summarize, the consistent solutions for the higher genus Riemann surface compactification are

$$e^{\psi_1} = e^{-\psi_{20}}\Omega \tag{18}$$

$$\alpha^2 = \alpha_0^2 |x - 2\psi_0/3|\Omega^{3/2} \tag{19}$$

$$\alpha_0^2 e^{\psi_{20}}\Omega^2 = 2|x - 2\psi_0/3| + 2\epsilon_x(s + \psi_0) \tag{20}$$

$$\mu \equiv \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{2}{l^2}e^{\psi_{20}} \quad (g > 1) \tag{21}$$

with four constants of motion, ψ_{20}, α_0, ψ_0 and s. The solutions Eqs. (18) - (21) in fact correspond to the three-dimensional BTZ black holes (the zero mass black hole, positive mass black holes and the $M = -1$ solution) tensored with a constant size Riemann surface, as we will see shortly. Even if we have two sets of solutions depending on the value of $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$, it turns out that the two sets of solutions describe the same space-time. To avoid redundancy, we hereafter restrict our presentation to the case of $\epsilon_x = +1$. The possible range of x is determined from Eq. (19) and Eq. (20) as

$$x - 2\psi_0/3 > 0, \quad x - 2\psi_0/3 + (s + \psi_0) > 0. \tag{22}$$

For the more palpable comparison to the analysis of Horowitz and Myers on the higher than three dimensional gravity theories with a negative cosmological constant [3], we consider the two cases when $s + \psi_0 > 0$ and when $s + \psi_0 < 0$. First, when $s + \psi_0 > 0$, the second

condition of Eq. (22) is automatically satisfied if the first condition of Eq. (22) holds. A convenient choice of a radial coordinate r is

$$\frac{r^2}{l^2} - r_0^2 = x - \frac{2}{3}\psi_0 > 0$$

where $r_0^2 = s + \psi_0$. Using this radial coordinate, we can write the five-dimensional metric as

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - r_0^2\right) dt^2 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} d\theta^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - r_0^2\right)^{-1} dr^2 + dx_1^2 + \sinh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x_1}{l}\right) dx_2^2, \qquad (23)$$

where we fixed two constants of integration as $e^{\psi_{20}} = 1$ and $\alpha_0^2 = 2$, which correspond to the scale choice for the circle and the M_g. Of the original four constants of integration, these two scale choices fix two of them. Since ψ_0 can be deleted from the metric by an appropriate diffeomorphism, we disregard it as a gauge dependent parameter. Thus we end up with one positive semi-definite constant, r_0^2, that parameterize the solution space in a gauge-independent fashion. We also rescaled the time coordinate as $2dt^2 \to dt^2$. The metric (23) is the familiar metric for the BTZ black holes tensored with a constant size Riemann surface [9]. Secondly, when $s + \psi_0 < 0$, the first condition of Eq. (22) is automatically satisfied if the second condition of Eq. (22) holds. A convenient choice of a radial coordinate r is

$$\frac{r^2}{l^2} - r_0^2 = x - 2\psi_0/3 + (s + \psi_0) > 0$$

where $r_0^2 = -(s + \psi_0)$. Using this radial coordinate, we can write the five-dimensional metric as

$$ds^2 = -\frac{r^2}{l^2} dt^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - r_0^2\right) d\theta^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - r_0^2\right)^{-1} dr^2 + dx_1^2 + \sinh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x_1}{l}\right) dx_2^2 \qquad (24)$$

where we also imposed the conditions $e^{\psi_{20}} = 1$ and $\alpha_0^2 = 2$, fixing two constants of integration as before, and $2dt^2 \to dt^2$. The metric (24) is now seen to be the double Wick rotated version of the metric (23), just as what happens in the higher dimensional analysis of the Horowitz and Myers [3]. To avoid the conical singularity at $r/l = r_0$, the value of r_0 for the metric (24) should be set to a particular value depending on the asymptotic size of θ coordinate.

Then, the space-time is everywhere regular and we can interpret it as the AdS_3 solitons tensored with a Riemann surface [3]. Based on the fermion holonomy in the boundary at infinity, it was identified in [3] that the asymptotically (locally) AdS_5 analog of the metric (23) belongs to the Ramond sector (R sector) and the asymptotically (locally) AdS_5 analog of the metric (24) belongs to the lower energy Neveu-Schwarz sector (NS sector) from the higher dimensional analysis. In fact, via a coordinate transformation $r^2/l^2 \to r^2/l^2 + r_0^2$, the metric (24) can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} + r_0^2\right) dt^2 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} d\theta^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} + r_0^2\right)^{-1} dr^2 + dx_1^2 + \sinh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x_1}{l}\right) dx_2^2. \qquad (25)$$

This corresponds to the negative mass ($M = -1$) BTZ solution tensored with a Riemann surface [9]. From the purely three-dimensional bulk analysis it has also been considered as the NS sector due to the antiperiodicity of the Killing spinors [10], consistent with the assignment of [3]. Due to the existence of the Killing spinors for three-dimensional part of the solutions Eq. (25) (and thus the solutions Eq. (24)) [10], the three-dimensional AdS_3 solitons are guaranteed to have the stable minimum energy, its energy being lower than that of the R sector vacuum with periodic Killing spinors, the massless BTZ black holes [11]. The main difference between the three-dimensional and a higher dimensional case is that the interconnecting solutions of [12] and [4] with naked singularities that interconnect the R and NS sectors do not exist for the three dimensional (non-rotating) case. The structure of the bosonic regular solution space is similar for both cases, with a finite mass gap between the R sector and the NS sector.

We note that the two sets of solutions, Eq. (23) and Eq. (24), are also the solutions of the ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity. The bosonic type IIB supergravity action appropriate for our context is

$$I_{10} = \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g^{(10)}} \left[e^{-2\phi}(R^{(10)} + 4(D\phi)^2) - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 5!} F^{(10)2} \right], \qquad (26)$$

where ϕ is the dilaton field, $F^{(10)}$ is the RR self-dual five-form field strength and $g^{(10)}_{AB}$, the ten-dimensional metric. The self-duality condition for the five-form field strength should be imposed by hand [13]. The equations of motion from the action (26) are

$$e^{2\phi}D^{\mu}(4e^{-2\phi}D_{\mu}\phi) + R^{(10)} + 4(D\phi)^2 = 0 \tag{27}$$

for the dilaton field,

$$D^{M}F^{(10)}_{MABCD} = 0 \tag{28}$$

for the RR gauge field and

$$R^{(10)AB} = e^{2\phi}T^{AB} \tag{29}$$

for the ten-dimensional metric, where the stress-energy tensor T^{AB} is obtained by varying

$$L = 4e^{-2\phi}(D\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2\cdot 5!}F^{(10)2}$$

with respect to the ten-dimensional metric. The ten-dimensional self-dual solutions from our five-dimensional analysis can be written as

$$ds^2 = g^{(10)}_{AB}dx^A dx^B = g^{(5)}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + (\sqrt{2}l)^2 d\Omega_5^2, \tag{30}$$

$$\phi = \text{constant}, \tag{31}$$

$$F^{(10)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} = 32l^{-1}e^{-2\phi}\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5},$$

$$F^{(10)}_{\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4\theta_5} = 32l^{-1}e^{-2\phi}(\sqrt{2}l)^5\epsilon_{\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4\theta_5}, \tag{32}$$

where $g^{(5)}_{\mu\nu}$ is the five dimensional metric, Eq. (23) or Eq. (24), and the metric $d\Omega_5^2$ is the standard metric on the unit S^5 with five angle coordinates θ_1, θ_2, θ_3, θ_4 and θ_5. The antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}$ is the volume form on the five-manifold and $\epsilon_{\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4\theta_5}$ is the volume form on the unit five-sphere. The solutions Eqs. (30) - (32) that satisfy

$$R^{(10)} = R^{(5)} + \frac{10}{l^2} = 0 \quad , \quad D^{M}F^{(10)}_{MABCD} = 0$$

$$R^{(10)}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{l^2}g^{(5)}_{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad R^{(10)}_{\mu\theta_i} = 0 \quad , \quad R^{(10)}_{\theta_i\theta_j} = \frac{2}{l^2}g^{(10)}_{\theta_i\theta_j} \quad (i,j = 1,\cdots,5)$$

are actually the solutions of the ten-dimensional field equations Eqs. (27) - (29). From the type IIB supergravity point of view, our solution thus represents a certain near-horizon

geometry produced by the D-three branes wrapped on a compact Riemann surface with the genus $g > 1$, and the space-time geometry is of the form $\mathcal{M}_3 \times M_g \times S^5$. Here, $\mathcal{M}_3$ is the BTZ black hole whose R sector ground state is the massless black hole and the NS sector ground state, the AdS_3 solitons. The wrapped three-branes becomes a string-like object and we end up getting the ground state geometry of the BTZ type. An intriguing point that deserves further understanding from the microscopic D three-brane side is that this type of configuration is possible only for the Riemann surfaces with the genus larger than one.

From the analysis in this paper on the spontaneous compactification of the five-dimensional general relativity with a negative cosmological constant on a Riemann surface, we accumulated some supporting evidences toward the validity of the Horowitz-Myers conjecture [3]; our results suggests a possibility that the presence of the negative cosmological constant may prevent the existence of the bubble type solutions (genus zero solutions) [7] that plagued the stability of the asymptotically locally Minkowskian gravity. Furthermore, it was pointed out that the $M = -1$ solution of the BTZ model has the higher dimensional analog that was independently argued to belong to the NS sector in [3]. In particular, the R sector solutions and the NS sector solutions of the BTZ model are related to each other by the double Wick rotation. It was suggested by Strominger that the three-dimensional BTZ black holes may capture the essentials of the higher dimensional stringy (non-extremal) black holes such as the five-dimensional $D1$-$D5$-KK black holes of the type IIB supergravity compactified on a T^5 [14]. A crucial element in this type of argument is the existence of the U-dual transformation that takes the five-dimensional $D1$-$D5$-KK black holes into the BTZ black hole [15]. Our results indicates that, if such a U-dual transformation is explicitly found in our context, the BTZ black holes may also be used to better understand the non-extremal black holes produced by D three-branes and vice versa. Along with the microscopic explanation for the restriction of the Riemann surfaces to the genus larger than one, this issue in our opinion deserves further investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

D.P. was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) through the Center for Theoretical Physics (CTP) at Seoul National University (SNU).

REFERENCES

[1] J. Maldacena, hep-th/9711200; S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B 428**, 105 (1998); E. Witten, hep-th/9802150.

[2] E. Witten, hep-th/9803131; C. Csaki, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz and J. Terning, hep-th/9806021.

[3] G.T. Horowitz and R.C. Myers, hep-th/9808079.

[4] Y. Kiem and D. Park, hep-th/9809174

[5] R. Emparan, Phys. Lett. **B 432**, 74 (1998).

[6] R.B. Mann, gr-qc/9709039, *Internal structure of black holes and spacetime singularities*, p. 311, Haifa 1997.

[7] D. Brill and H. Pfister, Phys. Lett. **B228**, 359 (1989); D. Brill and G.T. Horowitz, Phys. Lett. **B262**, 437 (1991).

[8] M.J. Duff, B.E.W. Nilsson and C.N. Pope, Phys. Rep. **130**, 1 (1986).

[9] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992).

[10] S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. (in press), hep-th/9806026.

[11] O. Coussaert and M. Henneaux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 183 (1994).

[12] J.G. Russo, Phys. Lett. **B 435**, 284 (1998).

[13] P.K. Townsend, Talk given at Summer School in High-energy Physics and Cosmology, Trieste, Italy (1996), hep-th/9612121.

[14] A. Strominger, hep-th/9712251, J. High Energy Phys. **02**, 009 (1998).

[15] S. Hyun, hep-th/9704005.